Iron-Catalyzed Oxidative C−H/C−H Cross-Coupling between Electron-Rich Arenes and Alkenes

Yiyang Ma, † Dongchao Zhang, † Zhiyuan Yan, † Mengfan Wang, † Changliang Bian, † Xinlong Gao, † Emilio E. Bunel,*,‡ and Aiwen Lei*,†,‡,§

† College of Chemis[try](#page-2-0) and Molecular Scie[nce](#page-2-0)s, the Institute for Advanced Studies (IAS), Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China

‡ Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States

§ National Research Center for Carbohydrate Synthesis, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, People's Republic of China

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A novel oxidative C−H/C−H cross-coupling reaction between electron-rich arenes and alkenes is established utilizing FeCl₃ as the catalyst and DDQ as the oxidant. Interestingly, direct arylation products are obtained with diaryl-ethylenes and double arylation products are obtained with styrene derivatives, which show high chemoselectivity and good substrate scope. A radical trapping experiment and EPR (electron paramagnetic resonance) experiments indicate that this reaction proceeds through a radical pathway in which DDQ plays a key role in the aryl radical formation. XAFS (X-ray absorption fine structure) experiments reveal that the oxidation state of the iron catalyst does not change during the reaction, suggesting that $FeCl₃$ might be used as a Lewis acid. Finally, a detailed mechanism is proposed for this transformation.

T ransition-metal catalyzed oxidative C−H/C−H cross-
coupling reactions have attracted much attention because these transformations provide an ideal synthetic mode for constructing C−C bonds.¹ In recent years, tremendous advances have been presented in this field. For example, activated C−H compounds could be [em](#page-3-0)ployed as substrates, 2 and some inert C−H compounds could be overcome and tolerated in these trans[f](#page-3-0)ormations as well. 3 However, in most of these cases, late transition metals, such as palladium, ruthenium, rhodium, and platinum, were used as [ca](#page-3-0)talysts.^{1f,3g,4} However, it is well-known that first-row transition metals are less expensive and have no toxicity.⁵ Therefore, more chem[ists sh](#page-3-0)ifted their attention to the inexpensive and nontoxic first-row transition metals, especially copper [an](#page-3-0)d iron, which have shown some unique catalytic features recently. $2a,6$

Iron is one of the most abundant, inexpensive, and environ[men](#page-3-0)tally friendly metals on the earth. It not only plays an important role in the life reactivity⁷ but also is widely used in organic synthesis as a catalyst for oxidation and Friedel−C rafts reactions.⁸ Recently, iron salts [ha](#page-3-0)ve been also disclosed as excellent potential catalysts for coupling reactions since crosscoupling reacti[o](#page-3-0)ns between organometallic reagents and halides were realized in the presence of iron salts. $6d,e,9$ Thereafter, ironcatalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reactions of arenes and organometallic reagents,¹⁰ aldehydes and alkenes,¹¹ and phenols and alkenes¹² and cross-dehydrogenation-coupling (CDC) reactions¹³ were demonstrat[ed.](#page-3-0) Nevertheless, to date, [no](#page-3-0) example has been report[ed](#page-3-0) on the iron-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling betwe[en](#page-3-0) arenes and alkenes. Hence, this work communicates an $FeCl_{3}$ catalyzed direct oxidative C−H/C−H cross-coupling reaction between arenes and alkenes toward the synthesis of triarylethylene or triaryl-ethane.

We commenced the oxidative cross-coupling between arenes and alkenes by employing 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (1a) and 1,1-diphenylethylene (2a) as substrates. The desired product 3a was obtained when 1a and 2a were mixed in the presence of FeCl₃ (20 mol %) and DDQ (1.2 equiv) in DME at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 h (Table 1, entry 1). This result encouraged us to make a further optimization. First, several iron salts were tested; however, less favorabl[e](#page-1-0) results were obtained (entries 2 and 3). It turned

Received: March 17, 2015 Published: April 10, 2015

Table 1. Condition Optimization for the Oxidative Cross-Coupling of 1a and $2a^a$

OMe MeO 1a	$\ddot{}$ Phí OMe 2a	Ph	catalyst (20 mol %) oxidant (1.2 equiv) solvent (2.0 mL) MeO 80 °C, 10 h	OMe Ph 3a
entry	catalyst	oxidant	solvent	yield $(\%)^b$
$\mathbf{1}$	FeCl ₃	DDQ.	DME	35
$\overline{2}$	$Fe2(SO4)2$	DDQ	DME	trace
3	$Fe (acac)_3$	DDQ	DME	trace
4		DDQ	DME	trace
5	FeCl ₃	TCQ	DME	30
6	FeCl ₃	BQ	DME	trace
7	FeCl ₃	DDQ	CH ₃ CN	55
8	FeCl ₃	DDQ	DCE	34
9	FeCl ₃	DDQ	toluene	33
10	FeCl ₃	DDQ	DMF	5
11 ^c	FeCl ₃	DDQ	CH ₃ CN	24
12 ^d	FeCl ₃	DDQ	CH ₃ CN	17
13 ^e	FeCl ₃	DDQ	CH ₃ CN	$78(76)^t$

^a All of the reactions were performed with 1a (0.2 mmol, 33.6 mg), 2a (0.2 mmol, 36.0 mg), catalyst (20 mol %), and oxidant (0.24 mmol) in 2.0 mL of solvent in Schlenk tubes at 80° C for 10 h under N_2 . b Yield determined by GC analysis with naphthalene as the internal standard. FeCl₃ (40 mol %). d FeCl₃ (2 mol %). ^{*e*} Ia (1.0 mmol, 168.2 mg), 2a $(0.2 \text{ mmol}, 36.0 \text{ mg})$, FeCl₃ (20 mol %), DDQ $(0.4 \text{ mmol}, 90.8 \text{ mg})$, and CH₃CN (1.0 mL) in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N₂. f Isolated yield in parentheses.

out that an iron salt was necessary for this transformation, since only a trace amount of the desired product could be observed without iron salts (entry 4). Other oxidants, such as tetrachlorop-benzoquinone (TCQ) and p-benzoquinone (BQ), were also examined for this transformation, but less favorable yields were obtained (entries 5 and 6). $CH₃CN$ afforded the best yield compared to 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), toluene, and DMF (entries 7−10). Better results were not obtained when increasing or decreasing the amount of $FeCl₃$ (entries 11 and 12). Finally, the best yield was obtained when 1a (1.0 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), FeCl₃ (0.04 mmol), and DDQ (0.4 mmol) were combined in CH₃CN (1.0 mL) at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 h (entry 13).

With the optimized conditions in hand, the substrate scope of this iron-catalyzed oxidative C−H/C−H cross-coupling reaction between arenes and alkenes was explored. As shown in Scheme 1, various diaryl-ethylenes are suitable for this transformation. For example, 2,2′-(ethene-1,1-diyl)dinaphthalene reacts with 1a smoothly to give the desired product in 68% yield (3b). 1,1-Diphenylethylenes bearing an electron-withdrawing group (CF_3) or electron-donating group (CH_3) is suitable for this reaction (3c and 3g). 1,1-Diphenylethylene with a halogen substituent such as chloride, bromide, and fluoride are all tolerated to produce the corresponding products (3d, 3e, 3f, 3h), which enable a potential application in further functionalization. As shown in Table 2, when substituted styrenes are tested for this transformation, corresponding triaryl-ethane instead of triarylethylene are produced (4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d).

Subsequently, in order to understand the role of the iron catalyst in this coupling reaction, some experiments were performed. First, a radical-trapping experiment was carried out by employing TEMPO as the radical scavenger. Only a trace amount of 3a was obtained when 2 equiv of TEMPO were added (eq 1), suggesting that this coupling reaction might proceed

Scheme 1. FeCl₃-Catalyzed Oxidative C-H/C-H Cross-Coupling between Arenes and Diaryl-ethylenes^a

 a_{1a} (1.5 mmol, 252.3 mg), 2 (0.3 mmol), FeCl₃ (20 mol %), DDQ $(0.6 \text{ mmol}, 136.2 \text{ mg})$ and $CH₃CN$ (1.0 mL) in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N_2 . Yields were obtained by isolating the pure products. The ratios of trans and cis isomers were obtained from ¹H NMR spectra.

Table 2. Oxidative Cross-Coupling of Substituted Styrenes and 1a^a

^aAll of the reactions were performed with 1a $(1.5 \text{ mmol}, 252.3 \text{ mg})$, **2** (0.3 mmol), FeCl₃ (20 mol %), DDQ (0.6 mmol, 136.2 mg), and CH₃CN (1.0 mL) in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N₂. ^bYields were obtained by isolating the pure products.

through a radical pathway. Hence, various EPR experiments were done afterward. As shown in Figure 1, strong radical signals are observed in the mixture of 1a and DDQ in $CH₃CN$ at 80 °C after the addition of 5,5-dimethyl-1-py[rro](#page-2-0)line N-oxide (DMPO). Moreover, when 1-bromo-3,5-dimethoxybenzene (2a) in lieu of 1a is employed to react with DDQ, similar strong radical signals are detected. These phenomena disclose that DDQ could react with arenes to produce radicals. Since distinguishing g values were obtained, we guessed that the radical signals originated from arenes. No new signal is found in the reaction mixture of 1a and FeCl₃ after the addition of DMPO, indicating that DDQ instead of FeCl₃ initiated the formation of the radical.^{12a}

Figure 1. EPR spectra (X band, 9.4 GHz, rt) of (a) reaction mixture of 1a and $DDQ(b)$ reaction mixture of 2a and $DDQ(c)$ reaction mixture of 1a and FeCl₃ with the addition of DMPO under standard conditions.

As described in Table 1, $FeCl₃$ is essential for this oxidative coupling reaction. To elucidate the role of $FeCl₃$, XAFS spectroscopy was employ[ed](#page-1-0). As shown in Figure 2 and Table 3,

Figure 2. XANES spectra of various Fe species. The mole ratio of FeCl₃ and 1a or 2a or DDQ or $CH₃CN$ was determined according to the standard conditions.

Table 3. Summary of the XANES Results

^aThe pre-edge energy was determined from the maximum of the preedge peak.

the pre-edge energy of the mixture of $FeCl₃$ and 1a or 2a or DDQ is the same as that of the authentic $FeCl₃$ sample, indicating that the oxidation state of the iron species in these mixtures is $+3$, and no redox process occurs between FeCl_3 and 1a or 2a or DDQ under the current reaction conditions. In addition, the $FeCl₃$ catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reaction of 1a and 2a was also monitored by XAFS. Compared with $FeCl₃$, the iron species in the reaction mixture provide the identical pre-edge energy at 7114.2 eV; i.e., the oxidation state of the iron species might not change during the reaction. Therefore, $FeCl₃$ is envisioned as an important Lewis acid for the transformation. To support this assumption, other Lewis acids were employed for this coupling reaction. The desired product could be obtained in 65% yield when

a catalytic amount of $AICI₃$ is utilized (eq 2). This result confirms that FeCl₃ might play a role as a Lewis acid in the overall reaction. Based on the current results, a putative mechanism was proposed and is illustrated in Scheme 2. Initially, an electron-rich

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism

arene is oxidized to produce the aryl radical I in the presence of DDQ. Then, an alkene traps the aryl radical to yield the radical intermediate II. This radical species is subsequently oxidized by losing one electron and affords the cationic intermediate III. There are two optional pathways for the cationic species. When the R group involved in III is an aryl, a deprotonation process produces the desired triaryl-enthylene 3. But if the R group is an H atom, a Friedel−Crafts alkylation process occurrs between III and the arene, and the product 4 is obtained. The steric hindrance of the R group might be the key reason for the two selective products. As FeCl₃ was determined to be an important Lewis acid, it might play two roles. By coordinating with the O atom of DDQ, $FeCl₃$ might help increase the oxidative capacity to facilitate the formation of radical I. It also could promote the radical addition process by coordinating and decreasing the electron density on the double bond of the alkene, as radical I is electron-rich and would likely react with the electron-deficient alkene.

In conclusion, a novel oxidative C−H/C−H cross-coupling reaction between electron-rich arenes and alkenes was established utilizing FeCl₃ as the catalyst and DDQ as the oxidant. The reaction selectivity changed through the utilization of different alkene substrates. Preliminary mechanistic investigations indicate that radicals were involved in the overall process. DDQ plays a key role in the formation of aryl radicals; meanwhile, FeCl₃ might act as an important Lewis acid to promote this transformation. Finally, a putative mechanism was proposed, while a detailed mechanism is currently under investigation in our laboratory and will be reported in the near future.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Experiment details and spectral data for all compounds are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: ebunel@anl.gov.

*E-mail: aiwenlei@whu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the 973 Program (2011CB808600, 2012CB725302), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21390400, 21025206, 21272180, 21302148), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20120141130002), and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT1030). The Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities of China (111 Program) is appreciated as well. This work was also funded by the Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. MRCAT operations are supported by the Department of Energy and the MRCAT member institutions.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Davies, H. M. L.; Du Bois, J.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1855. (b) Hartwig, J. F. Organotransition metal chemistry: from bonding to catalysis; University Science: Sausalito, CA, 2010. (c) Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 5588. (d) Liu, C.; Liu, D.; Lei, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 3459. (e) Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Shi, W.; Lei, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1780. (f) Rao, Y.; Shan, G.; Yang, X. Sci. China: Chem. 2014, 57, 930. (g) Shang, X.; Liu, Z.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 3253. (h) Yang, L.; Huang, H. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2, 1099. (i) Mu, X.; Liu, G. C−H and C−X Bond Functionalization: Transition Metal Mediation; RSC: 2013; p 363. (j) Liu, Z.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10024. (k) Li, B.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5744. (l) Kakiuchi, F.; Kochi, T. Synthesis 2008, 3013. (m) Huang, Z.; Dong, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 5869. (n) Guo, X.; Li, Z.; Li, C. Huaxue Jinzhan 2010, 22, 1434.

(2) (a) Xiang, S.-K.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, L.-H.; Cui, Y. X.; Jiao, N. Sci. China: Chem. 2012, 55, 50. (b) Li, Z.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11810. (c) Endo, K.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5264. (d) Cui, Z.; Shang, X.; Shao, X.-F.; Liu, Z.-Q. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 2853. (e) Chen, M.; Zheng, X.; Li, W.; He, J.; Lei, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4101. (f) Yin, W.; He, C.; Chen, M.; Zhang, H.; Lei, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 709. (g) Xu, Q.-L.; Gao, H.; Yousufuddin, M.; Ess, D. H.; Kürti, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14048. (h) Chatani, N. Fain Kemikaru 2012, 41, 5.

(3) (a) Cho, S. H.; Hwang, S. J.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9254. (b) Kwon, K.-H.; Lee, D. W.; Yi, C. S. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5748. (c) Lee, P.-S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17283. (d) Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Z.-Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 382. (e) Wu, J.; Lan, J.; Guo, S.; You, J.Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5862. (f) Deb, A.; Bag, S.; Kancherla, R.; Maiti, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13602. (g) Schinkel, M.; Marek, I.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3977. (h) Young, A. J.; White, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6824. (i) Demchuk, O. M.; Lastawiecka, E. Wiad. Chem. 2010, 64, 559. (j) Fernandez-Ibanez, M. A. ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 2188. (k) Hashiguchi, B. G.; Bischof, S. M.; Konnick, M. M.; Periana, R. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 885. (l) Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Top. Curr. Chem. 2002, 219, 211. (m) Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2013, 44, 91.

(4) (a) Dastbaravardeh, N.; Christakakou, M.; Haider, M.; Schnuerch, M. Synthesis 2014, 46, 1421. (b) de Vries, J. G. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2012, 42, 1. (c) Djakovitch, L.; Felpin, F.-X. ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 2175. (d) Engle, K. M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8927. (e) Musaev, D. G.; Figg, T. M.; Kaledin, A. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5009. (f) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2009, 110, 624. (g) Song, G.; Wang, F.; Li, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3651.

(h) Su, W.; Zhang, M.; Wu, G. C−H and C−X Bond Functionalization: Transition Metal Mediation; RSC: 2013; p 328.

(5) Mousseau, J. J.; Charette, A. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 412.

(6) (a) Guo, X.-X.; Gu, D.-W.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, W. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1622. (b) Liu, D.; Liu, C.; Li, H.; Lei, A. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3623. (c) Ghosh, M.; Singh, K. K.; Panda, C.; Weitz, A.; Hendrich, M. P.; Collins, T. J.; Dhar, B. B.; Sen Gupta, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9524. (d) Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. Fain Kemikaru 2012, 41, 40. (e) Li, K.; Tan, G.; Huang, J.; Song, F.; You, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12942. (f) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. 2010, 111, 1293. (g) Allen, S. E.; Walvoord, R. R.; Padilla-Salinas, R.; Kozlowski, M. C. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 6234. (h) Gosmini, C.; Begouin, J.-M.; Moncomble, A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3221. (i) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208.

(7)(a) Bakasova, Z. B.; Kadyrov, A. Complexing of Sodium L-Glutamate with Iron, Cobalt, and Copper Chlorides and Their Catalytic Activity; Ilim, 1989. (b) Costas, M.; Mehn, M. P.; Jensen, M. P.; Que, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 939. (c) de Visser, S. P., Kumar, D., Eds. Iron-Containing Enzymes: Versatile Catalysts of Hydroxylation Reactions in Nature; RSC: 2011.

(8) (a) Christmann, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2740. (b) Costas, M.; Chen, K.; Que, L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 200−202, 517. (c) Krebs, C.; Fujimori, D. G.; Walsh, C. T.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 484. (d) Lundberg, M.; Borowski, T. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2013, 257, 277. (e) Matsui, T.; Unno, M.; Ikeda-Saito, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 240. (f) Yoshizawa, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 375. (g) Bigi, M. A.; Reed, S. A.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9721. (h) Stadler, D.; Bach, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7557. (i) Schroeder, K.; Junge, K.; Bitterlich, B.; Beller, M. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 33, 83.

(9) (a) Correa, A.; Garcia Mancheno, O.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1108. (b) Jia, F.; Li, Z. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 194. (c) Nakamura, E.; Hatakeyama, T.; Ito, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, M. Org. React. 2014, 83, 1. (d) Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6061. (e) Sherry, B. D.; Fuerstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500. (f) Sun, X.; Li, J.; Huang, X.; Sun, C. Curr. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 2, 64. (g) Wang, C.; Wan, B. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2012, 57, 2338.

(10) (a) Yoshikai, N.; Matsumoto, A.; Norinder, J.; Nakamura, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2925. (b) Norinder, J.; Matsumoto, A.; Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5858. (c) Uchiyama, N.; Shirakawa, E.; Nishikawa, R.; Hayashi, T. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11671.

(11) Wang, J.; Liu, C.; Yuan, J.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 2312. (12) (a) Huang, Z.; Jin, L.; Feng, Y.; Peng, P.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 7292. (b) Kshirsagar, U. A.; Regev, C.; Parnes, R.; Pappo, D. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3174.

(13) Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335.