

# Iron-Catalyzed Oxidative C–H/C–H Cross-Coupling between Electron-Rich Arenes and Alkenes

Yiyang Ma,<sup>†</sup> Dongchao Zhang,<sup>†</sup> Zhiyuan Yan,<sup>†</sup> Mengfan Wang,<sup>†</sup> Changliang Bian,<sup>†</sup> Xinlong Gao,<sup>†</sup> Emilio E. Bunel,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and Aiwen Lei<sup>\*,†,‡,§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, the Institute for Advanced Studies (IAS), Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China

<sup>‡</sup>Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States

<sup>§</sup>National Research Center for Carbohydrate Synthesis, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, People's Republic of China

**(5)** Supporting Information



**ABSTRACT:** A novel oxidative C-H/C-H cross-coupling reaction between electron-rich arenes and alkenes is established utilizing FeCl<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst and DDQ as the oxidant. Interestingly, direct arylation products are obtained with diaryl-ethylenes and double arylation products are obtained with styrene derivatives, which show high chemoselectivity and good substrate scope. A radical trapping experiment and EPR (electron paramagnetic resonance) experiments indicate that this reaction proceeds through a radical pathway in which DDQ plays a key role in the aryl radical formation. XAFS (X-ray absorption fine structure) experiments reveal that the oxidation state of the iron catalyst does not change during the reaction, suggesting that FeCl<sub>3</sub> might be used as a Lewis acid. Finally, a detailed mechanism is proposed for this transformation.

T ransition-metal catalyzed oxidative C–H/C–H crosscoupling reactions have attracted much attention because these transformations provide an ideal synthetic mode for constructing C–C bonds.<sup>1</sup> In recent years, tremendous advances have been presented in this field. For example, activated C–H compounds could be employed as substrates,<sup>2</sup> and some inert C–H compounds could be overcome and tolerated in these transformations as well.<sup>3</sup> However, in most of these cases, late transition metals, such as palladium, ruthenium, rhodium, and platinum, were used as catalysts.<sup>1f,3g,4</sup> However, it is well-known that first-row transition metals are less expensive and have no toxicity.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, more chemists shifted their attention to the inexpensive and nontoxic first-row transition metals, especially copper and iron, which have shown some unique catalytic features recently.<sup>2a,6</sup>

Iron is one of the most abundant, inexpensive, and environmentally friendly metals on the earth. It not only plays an important role in the life reactivity<sup>7</sup> but also is widely used in organic synthesis as a catalyst for oxidation and Friedel–C rafts reactions.<sup>8</sup> Recently, iron salts have been also disclosed as excellent potential catalysts for coupling reactions since crosscoupling reactions between organometallic reagents and halides were realized in the presence of iron salts.<sup>6d,e,9</sup> Thereafter, ironcatalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reactions of arenes and organometallic reagents,<sup>10</sup> aldehydes and alkenes,<sup>11</sup> and phenols and alkenes<sup>12</sup> and cross-dehydrogenation-coupling (CDC) reactions<sup>13</sup> were demonstrated. Nevertheless, to date, no example has been reported on the iron-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling between arenes and alkenes. Hence, this work communicates an FeCl<sub>3</sub>catalyzed direct oxidative C–H/C–H cross-coupling reaction between arenes and alkenes toward the synthesis of triarylethylene or triaryl-ethane.

We commenced the oxidative cross-coupling between arenes and alkenes by employing 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (1a) and 1,1-diphenylethylene (2a) as substrates. The desired product 3a was obtained when 1a and 2a were mixed in the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mol %) and DDQ (1.2 equiv) in DME at 80 °C for 10 h (Table 1, entry 1). This result encouraged us to make a further optimization. First, several iron salts were tested; however, less favorable results were obtained (entries 2 and 3). It turned

Received:March 17, 2015Published:April 10, 2015

## Table 1. Condition Optimization for the OxidativeCross-Coupling of 1a and $2a^a$

MeO 1a	e + Ph ℃OMe 2a	catalyst (2 <u>oxidant (1</u> `Ph solvent ( 80 °C	20 mol %) <u>.2 equiy)</u> 2.0 mL) , 10 h Mo	eO Ph Bh 3a
entry	catalyst	oxidant	solvent	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	DME	35
2	$Fe_2(SO_4)_2$	DDQ	DME	trace
3	$Fe(acac)_3$	DDQ	DME	trace
4	_	DDQ	DME	trace
5	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	TCQ	DME	30
6	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	BQ	DME	trace
7	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	55
8	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	DCE	34
9	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	toluene	33
10	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	DMF	5
11 <sup>c</sup>	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	24
$12^d$	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	17
$13^e$	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	DDQ	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	78(76) <sup>f</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>All of the reactions were performed with **1a** (0.2 mmol, 33.6 mg), **2a** (0.2 mmol, 36.0 mg), catalyst (20 mol %), and oxidant (0.24 mmol) in 2.0 mL of solvent in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup>Yield determined by GC analysis with naphthalene as the internal standard. <sup>*c*</sup>FeCl<sub>3</sub> (40 mol %). <sup>*d*</sup>FeCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mol %). <sup>*e*</sup>**1a** (1.0 mmol, 168.2 mg), **2a** (0.2 mmol, 36.0 mg), FeCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mol %), DDQ (0.4 mmol, 90.8 mg), and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.0 mL) in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*f*</sup>Isolated yield in parentheses.

out that an iron salt was necessary for this transformation, since only a trace amount of the desired product could be observed without iron salts (entry 4). Other oxidants, such as tetrachloro*p*-benzoquinone (TCQ) and *p*-benzoquinone (BQ), were also examined for this transformation, but less favorable yields were obtained (entries 5 and 6). CH<sub>3</sub>CN afforded the best yield compared to 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), toluene, and DMF (entries 7–10). Better results were not obtained when increasing or decreasing the amount of FeCl<sub>3</sub> (entries 11 and 12). Finally, the best yield was obtained when **1a** (1.0 mmol), **2a** (0.2 mmol), FeCl<sub>3</sub> (0.04 mmol), and DDQ (0.4 mmol) were combined in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.0 mL) at 80 °C for 10 h (entry 13).

With the optimized conditions in hand, the substrate scope of this iron-catalyzed oxidative C-H/C-H cross-coupling reaction between arenes and alkenes was explored. As shown in Scheme 1, various diaryl-ethylenes are suitable for this transformation. For example, 2,2'-(ethene-1,1-diyl)dinaphthalene reacts with 1a smoothly to give the desired product in 68% yield (3b). 1,1-Diphenylethylenes bearing an electron-withdrawing group (CF<sub>3</sub>) or electron-donating group (CH<sub>3</sub>) is suitable for this reaction (3c and 3g). 1,1-Diphenylethylene with a halogen substituent such as chloride, bromide, and fluoride are all tolerated to produce the corresponding products (3d, 3e, 3f, 3h), which enable a potential application in further functionalization. As shown in Table 2, when substituted styrenes are tested for this transformation, corresponding triaryl-ethane instead of triaryl-ethylene are produced (4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d).

Subsequently, in order to understand the role of the iron catalyst in this coupling reaction, some experiments were performed. First, a radical-trapping experiment was carried out by employing TEMPO as the radical scavenger. Only a trace amount of **3a** was obtained when 2 equiv of TEMPO were added (eq 1), suggesting that this coupling reaction might proceed



Scheme 1. FeCl<sub>2</sub>-Catalyzed Oxidative C-H/C-H

<sup>a</sup>1a (1.5 mmol, 252.3 mg), 2 (0.3 mmol), FeCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mol %), DDQ (0.6 mmol, 136.2 mg) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.0 mL) in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N<sub>2</sub>. Yields were obtained by isolating the pure products. The ratios of *trans* and *cis* isomers were obtained from <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

Table 2. Oxidative Cross-Coupling of Substituted Styrenes and  $1a^a$ 

Ar-H <b>1a</b>	+	Ar <sup>3</sup> 2	FeCl₃ (20 mol %) <u>DDQ</u> (2.0 equiv) CH₃CN (1.0 mL) 80 °C, 10 h	Ar <sup>3</sup> Ar 4	OMe Ar = MeO OMe
	entry		Ar <sup>3</sup>		yield $(\%)^b$
	1		p-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		67
	2		m-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		58
	3		p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		66
	4		p-FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		48

<sup>a</sup>All of the reactions were performed with 1a (1.5 mmol, 252.3 mg), 2 (0.3 mmol), FeCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mol %), DDQ (0.6 mmol, 136.2 mg), and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.0 mL) in Schlenk tubes at 80 °C for 10 h under N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>b</sup>Yields were obtained by isolating the pure products.



through a radical pathway. Hence, various EPR experiments were done afterward. As shown in Figure 1, strong radical signals are observed in the mixture of **1a** and DDQ in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 80 °C after the addition of 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline *N*-oxide (DMPO). Moreover, when 1-bromo-3,5-dimethoxybenzene (**2a**) in lieu of **1a** is employed to react with DDQ, similar strong radical signals are detected. These phenomena disclose that DDQ could react with arenes to produce radicals. Since distinguishing *g* values were obtained, we guessed that the radical signals originated from arenes. No new signal is found in the reaction mixture of **1a** and FeCl<sub>3</sub> after the addition of DMPO, indicating that DDQ instead of FeCl<sub>3</sub> initiated the formation of the radical.<sup>12a</sup>



**Figure 1.** EPR spectra (X band, 9.4 GHz, rt) of (a) reaction mixture of **1a** and DDQ (b) reaction mixture of **2a** and DDQ (c) reaction mixture of **1a** and FeCl<sub>3</sub>, with the addition of DMPO under standard conditions.

As described in Table 1,  $FeCl_3$  is essential for this oxidative coupling reaction. To elucidate the role of  $FeCl_3$ , XAFS spectroscopy was employed. As shown in Figure 2 and Table 3,



Figure 2. XANES spectra of various Fe species. The mole ratio of  $FeCl_3$  and 1a or 2a or DDQ or  $CH_3CN$  was determined according to the standard conditions.

Table 3. Summary	<sup>7</sup> of the	XANES	Results
------------------	---------------------	-------	---------

sample	conditions	pre-edge energy <sup>a</sup> (eV)	oxidation state
Fe foll	air	N.A.	0
FeCl <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	7112.7	II
FeCl <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, rt, N <sub>2</sub>	7114.2	III
$FeCl_3 + 1a$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 80 °C, N <sub>2</sub> , 5 min	7114.1	III
$FeCl_3 + 2a$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 80 °C, N <sub>2</sub> , 5 min	7114.0	III
$FeCl_3 + DDQ$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 80 °C, N <sub>2</sub> , 5 min	7114.2	III
FeCl <sub>3</sub> + 1a +	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 80 °C, N <sub>2</sub> , 30 min	7114.1	III
2a + DDQ	CH <sub>3</sub> CN, 80 °C, N <sub>2</sub> , 2 h	7114.2	III

 ${}^{a}\mathrm{The}$  pre-edge energy was determined from the maximum of the pre-edge peak.

the pre-edge energy of the mixture of  $FeCl_3$  and 1a or 2a or DDQ is the same as that of the authentic  $FeCl_3$  sample, indicating that the oxidation state of the iron species in these mixtures is +3, and no redox process occurs between  $FeCl_3$  and 1a or 2a or DDQ under the current reaction conditions. In addition, the  $FeCl_3$  catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reaction of 1a and 2a was also monitored by XAFS. Compared with  $FeCl_3$ , the iron species in the reaction mixture provide the identical pre-edge energy at 7114.2 eV; i.e., the oxidation state of the iron species might not change during the reaction. Therefore,  $FeCl_3$  is envisioned as an important Lewis acid for the transformation. To support this assumption, other Lewis acids were employed for this coupling reaction. The desired product could be obtained in 65% yield when



a catalytic amount of  $AlCl_3$  is utilized (eq 2). This result confirms that  $FeCl_3$  might play a role as a Lewis acid in the overall reaction. Based on the current results, a putative mechanism was proposed and is illustrated in Scheme 2. Initially, an electron-rich

## Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism



arene is oxidized to produce the aryl radical I in the presence of DDQ. Then, an alkene traps the aryl radical to yield the radical intermediate II. This radical species is subsequently oxidized by losing one electron and affords the cationic intermediate III. There are two optional pathways for the cationic species. When the R group involved in III is an aryl, a deprotonation process produces the desired triaryl-enthylene 3. But if the R group is an H atom, a Friedel–Crafts alkylation process occurrs between III and the arene, and the product 4 is obtained. The steric hindrance of the R group might be the key reason for the two selective products. As FeCl<sub>2</sub> was determined to be an important Lewis acid, it might play two roles. By coordinating with the O atom of DDQ, FeCl<sub>3</sub> might help increase the oxidative capacity to facilitate the formation of radical I. It also could promote the radical addition process by coordinating and decreasing the electron density on the double bond of the alkene, as radical I is electron-rich and would likely react with the electron-deficient alkene.

In conclusion, a novel oxidative C-H/C-H cross-coupling reaction between electron-rich arenes and alkenes was established utilizing FeCl<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst and DDQ as the oxidant. The reaction selectivity changed through the utilization of different alkene substrates. Preliminary mechanistic investigations indicate that radicals were involved in the overall process. DDQ plays a key role in the formation of aryl radicals; meanwhile, FeCl<sub>3</sub> might act as an important Lewis acid to promote this transformation. Finally, a putative mechanism was proposed, while a detailed mechanism is currently under investigation in our laboratory and will be reported in the near future.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **Supporting Information**

Experiment details and spectral data for all compounds are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: ebunel@anl.gov.

\*E-mail: aiwenlei@whu.edu.cn.

2176

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the 973 Program (2011CB808600, 2012CB725302), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21390400, 21025206, 21272180, 21302148), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20120141130002), and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT1030). The Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities of China (111 Program) is appreciated as well. This work was also funded by the Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. MRCAT operations are supported by the Department of Energy and the MRCAT member institutions.

## REFERENCES

(1) (a) Davies, H. M. L.; Du Bois, J.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1855. (b) Hartwig, J. F. Organotransition metal chemistry: from bonding to catalysis; University Science: Sausalito, CA, 2010. (c) Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 5588. (d) Liu, C.; Liu, D.; Lei, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 3459. (e) Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Shi, W.; Lei, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1780. (f) Rao, Y.; Shan, G.; Yang, X. Sci. China: Chem. 2014, 57, 930. (g) Shang, X.; Liu, Z.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 3253. (h) Yang, L.; Huang, H. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2, 1099. (i) Mu, X.; Liu, G. C–H and C–X Bond Functionalization: Transition Metal Mediation; RSC: 2013; p 363. (j) Liu, Z.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10024. (k) Li, B.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5744. (l) Kakiuchi, F.; Kochi, T. Synthesis 2008, 3013. (m) Huang, Z.; Dong, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 5869. (n) Guo, X.; Li, Z.; Li, C. Huaxue Jinzhan 2010, 22, 1434.

(2) (a) Xiang, S.-K.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, L.-H.; Cui, Y. X.; Jiao, N. Sci. China: Chem. 2012, 55, 50. (b) Li, Z.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11810. (c) Endo, K.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5264. (d) Cui, Z.; Shang, X.; Shao, X.-F.; Liu, Z.-Q. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 2853. (e) Chen, M.; Zheng, X.; Li, W.; He, J.; Lei, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4101. (f) Yin, W.; He, C.; Chen, M.; Zhang, H.; Lei, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 709. (g) Xu, Q.-L.; Gao, H.; Yousufuddin, M.; Ess, D. H.; Kürti, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14048. (h) Chatani, N. Fain Kemikaru 2012, 41, 5.

(3) (a) Cho, S. H.; Hwang, S. J.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9254. (b) Kwon, K.-H.; Lee, D. W.; Yi, C. S. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5748. (c) Lee, P.-S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17283. (d) Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Liu, Z.-Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 382. (e) Wu, J.; Lan, J.; Guo, S.; You, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5862. (f) Deb, A.; Bag, S.; Kancherla, R.; Maiti, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13602. (g) Schinkel, M.; Marek, I.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3977. (h) Young, A. J.; White, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6824. (i) Demchuk, O. M.; Lastawiecka, E. Wiad. Chem. 2010, 64, 559. (j) Fernandez-Ibanez, M. A. ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 2188. (k) Hashiguchi, B. G.; Bischof, S. M.; Konnick, M. M.; Periana, R. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 885. (l) Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Top. Curr. Chem. 2002, 219, 211. (m) Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2013, 44, 91.

(4) (a) Dastbaravardeh, N.; Christakakou, M.; Haider, M.; Schnuerch, M. Synthesis 2014, 46, 1421. (b) de Vries, J. G. Top. Organomet. Chem.
2012, 42, 1. (c) Djakovitch, L.; Felpin, F.-X. ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 2175. (d) Engle, K. M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8927. (e) Musaev, D. G.; Figg, T. M.; Kaledin, A. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5009. (f) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2009, 110, 624. (g) Song, G.; Wang, F.; Li, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3651.

(h) Su, W.; Zhang, M.; Wu, G. C–H and C–X Bond Functionalization: Transition Metal Mediation; RSC: 2013; p 328.

(5) Mousseau, J. J.; Charette, A. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 412.
(6) (a) Guo, X.-X.; Gu, D.-W.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, W. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1622. (b) Liu, D.; Liu, C.; Li, H.; Lei, A. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3623. (c) Ghosh, M.; Singh, K. K.; Panda, C.; Weitz, A.; Hendrich, M. P.; Collins, T. J.; Dhar, B. B.; Sen Gupta, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9524. (d) Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. Fain Kemikaru 2012, 41, 40. (e) Li, K.; Tan, G.; Huang, J.; Song, F.; You, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12942. (f) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. 2010, 111, 1293. (g) Allen, S. E.; Walvoord, R. R.; Padilla-Salinas, R.; Kozlowski, M. C. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 6234. (h) Gosmini, C.; Begouin, J.-M.; Moncomble, A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3221. (i) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208.

(7) (a) Bakasova, Z. B.; Kadyrov, A. Complexing of Sodium L-Glutamate with Iron, Cobalt, and Copper Chlorides and Their Catalytic Activity; Ilim, 1989. (b) Costas, M.; Mehn, M. P.; Jensen, M. P.; Que, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 939. (c) de Visser, S. P., Kumar, D., Eds. Iron-Containing Enzymes: Versatile Catalysts of Hydroxylation Reactions in Nature; RSC: 2011.

(8) (a) Christmann, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2740.
(b) Costas, M.; Chen, K.; Que, L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 200-202, 517. (c) Krebs, C.; Fujimori, D. G.; Walsh, C. T.; Bollinger, J. M., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 484. (d) Lundberg, M.; Borowski, T. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2013, 257, 277. (e) Matsui, T.; Unno, M.; Ikeda-Saito, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 240. (f) Yoshizawa, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 375. (g) Bigi, M. A.; Reed, S. A.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9721. (h) Stadler, D.; Bach, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7557. (i) Schroeder, K.; Junge, K.; Bitterlich, B.; Beller, M. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 33, 83.

(9) (a) Correa, A.; Garcia Mancheno, O.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1108. (b) Jia, F.; Li, Z. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 194.
(c) Nakamura, E.; Hatakeyama, T.; Ito, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, M. Org. React. 2014, 83, 1. (d) Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6061. (e) Sherry, B. D.; Fuerstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500. (f) Sun, X.; Li, J.; Huang, X.; Sun, C. Curr. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 2, 64. (g) Wang, C.; Wan, B. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2012, 57, 2338.
(10) (a) Yoshikai, N.; Matsumoto, A.; Norinder, J.; Nakamura, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2925. (b) Norinder, J.; Matsumoto, A.; Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5858.
(c) Uchiyama, N.; Shirakawa, E.; Nishikawa, R.; Hayashi, T. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11671.

(11) Wang, J.; Liu, C.; Yuan, J.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 2312.
(12) (a) Huang, Z.; Jin, L.; Feng, Y.; Peng, P.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 7292. (b) Kshirsagar, U. A.; Regev, C.; Parnes, R.; Pappo, D. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3174.

(13) Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335.